

CLASSES OF INFINITELY DIVISIBLE DISTRIBUTIONS ON $\mathbb{R}^d$ RELATED TO THE CLASS OF SELFDECOMPOSABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

Makoto Maejima¹, Muneya Matsui and Mayo Suzuki

Department of Mathematics, Keio University
3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku
Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

ABSTRACT

This paper studies new classes of infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Firstly, the connecting classes with a continuous parameter between the Jurek class and the class of selfdecomposable distributions are revisited. Secondly, the range of the parameter is extended to construct new classes and characterization in terms of stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes are given. Finally, the nested subclasses of those classes are discussed and characterized in two ways: One is by stochastic integral representations and another is in terms of Lévy measures.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the class of all infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{|x|>1} \log |x| \mu(dx) < \infty\}$. Let $\hat{\mu}(z), z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, be the characteristic function of $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

In this paper, we first revisit the classes in $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ connecting the class of selfdecomposable distributions ($L(\mathbb{R}^d)$, say) and the Jurek class (the class of s -selfdecomposable distributions, ($U(\mathbb{R}^d)$, say), see Jurek (1985)). Those connecting classes were already studied by O'Connor (1979) in $I(\mathbb{R}^1)$ and by Jurek (1988) in $I(E)$, where E is a Banach space. Throughout this paper, we treat the case $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Although there are several equivalent definitions of $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we use here their definitions in

¹Corresponding author: maejima@math.keio.ac.jp

terms of Lévy measures. Then we study more general classes including the classes above and nested subclasses of those classes.

The Lévy-Khintchine representation of $\widehat{\mu}$ we use in this paper is

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp \left\{ -2^{-1} \langle z, Az \rangle + i \langle \gamma, z \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i \langle z, x \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i \langle z, x \rangle}{1 + |x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right\},$$

where A is a nonnegative-definite symmetric $d \times d$ matrix, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and ν is the Lévy measure satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty$. We call (A, ν, γ) the Lévy-Khintchine triplet of μ and we write $\mu = \mu_{(A, \nu, \gamma)}$ when we want to emphasize the triplet.

The polar decomposition of the Lévy measure ν of $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, with $0 < \nu(\mathbb{R}^d) \leq \infty$, is the following: There exist a measure λ on $S = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\xi| = 1\}$ with $0 < \lambda(S) \leq \infty$ and a family $\{\nu_\xi : \xi \in S\}$ of measures on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\nu_\xi(B)$ is measurable in ξ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}((0, \infty))$, $0 < \nu_\xi((0, \infty)) \leq \infty$ for each $\xi \in S$ and

$$(1.1) \quad \nu(B) = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) \nu_\xi(dr), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}).$$

Here λ and $\{\nu_\xi\}$ are uniquely determined by ν up to multiplication of a measurable function $c(\xi)$ and $\frac{1}{c(\xi)}$, respectively, with $0 < c(\xi) < \infty$. We say that μ or ν has the polar decomposition (λ, ν_ξ) and ν_ξ is called the radial component of ν . (See, e.g., Barndorff-Nielsen et al. (2006), Lemma 2.1.)

The connecting classes between $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ mentioned above are also characterized by mappings with a parameter from $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We extend the range of the parameter and first study the classes defined by these mappings. These mappings are the special cases studied in Sato (2006b) as will be mentioned later.

We start with following classes, where the classes $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are two known special classes.

Definition 1.1. (Class $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$) Let $\alpha < 2$. We say that $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to the class $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $\nu = 0$ or $\nu \neq 0$ and, in case $\nu \neq 0$, ν_ξ in (1.1) satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \nu_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha-1} \ell_\xi(r) dr, \quad r > 0,$$

where $\ell_\xi(r)$ is nonincreasing in $r \in (0, \infty)$ for λ -a.e. ξ and is measurable in ξ for each $r > 0$, and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \ell_\xi(r) = 0$.

Remark 1.2. (i) Because of the condition that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \ell_\xi(r) = 0$, $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, does not include the class of α -stable distributions, but does include the class of $(\alpha + \varepsilon)$ -stable distributions for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 2 - \alpha)$. It also includes tempering α -stable

distributions, which are defined by (1.2) with a completely monotone function $\ell_\xi(r)$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \ell_\xi(r) = 1$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \ell_\xi(r) = 0$. (See Rosiński (2007).)

(ii) Let ν be the Lévy measure of $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\alpha > 0$. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\delta \mu(ds) < \infty$ if and only if $\int_{|x|>1} |x|^\delta \nu(dx) < \infty$, (see, e.g. Sato (1999) Theorem 25.3,) $\mu \in K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has the finite δ -moment for any $0 < \delta < \alpha$. This fact is the same as for α -stable distributions.

Remark 1.3. (i) The Jurek class $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is $K_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the class of selfdecomposable distributions $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is $K_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(ii) Let $\alpha < \beta < 2$. Then $K_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This is trivial from the definition.

Therefore, $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 0$, are connecting classes with a continuous parameter α between the classes $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as mentioned in the beginning of this section.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some known results related to the classes $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are mentioned. In Section 3, we give a complete proof for the decomposability of the distributions in $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\alpha < 0$. In Section 4, we define mappings Φ_α , $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, in terms of stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes related to the classes $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and determine those domains and ranges. The proofs for the ranges are given in Section 5. In Section 6, we construct nested subclasses of the ranges of Φ_α by iterating the mapping Φ_α . Then we firstly determine the domains $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and secondly characterize the ranges of the mappings Φ_α^{m+1} in two ways: One is by stochastic integral representations and another is in terms of Lévy measures.

2. KNOWN RESULTS

In this section, we explain several results from O'Connor (1979) and Jurek (1988).

1. (Characterization by the decomposability.)

O'Connor (1979) defined the classes $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $-1 < \alpha < 0$, as in Definition 1.1, and proved that $\mu \in K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^1)$ if and only if for any $c \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\mu_c \in I(\mathbb{R}^1)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \widehat{\mu}(z) = \widehat{\mu}(cz)^{c^{-\alpha}} \widehat{\mu}_c(z).$$

His proof used Lévy measures. However, his proof for getting the convexity of Lévy density on $(-\infty, 0)$ and the concavity on $(0, \infty)$ (in the proof of his Theorem 3 in

O'Connor (1979)) is not clear to the authors of this paper. So, we will give our proof in Section 3, extending the range of α to $(-\infty, 0)$.

Jurek (1988) defined the classes $U_\alpha(E)$, $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 0$, where E is a Banach space, as the classes of limiting distributions as follows. $\mu \in U_\alpha(E)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{\mu_j\} \subset I(E)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1}(\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \cdots * \mu_n)^{*n^\alpha} = \mu,$$

where $(n^{-1}(\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \cdots * \mu_n)^{n^{-1}})(B) := (\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \cdots * \mu_n)^{n^{-1}}(nB)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$. He then showed the decomposability (2.1) as a consequence of (2.2). So, as a result, we see that $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) = U_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but there is no proof by using Lévy measures in Jurek (1988). This is another reason why we will give our proof in Section 3. Our proof will use Lévy measures in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 15.10 of Sato (1999) for selfdecomposability.

2. (Characterization by the stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes.)

Let $-1 \leq \alpha < 0$. Jurek (1988) showed that $\mu \in U_\alpha(E)$ if and only if there exists a Lévy process $\{X_t\}$ on E such that

$$(2.3) \quad \mu = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^1 t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t \right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the law of a random variable X . For the case $\alpha = 0$, the following is known (Wolfe (1972) and others). $\mu \in K_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if there exists a Lévy process $\{X_t\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $E[\log^+ |X_1|] < \infty$ such that

$$\mu = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-t} dX_t \right).$$

Remark 2.1. (2.3) can have a different form. Change the variables from t to s by $t = 1 + \alpha s$. Then

$$\mu = \mathcal{L} \left(- \int_0^{-1/\alpha} (1 + \alpha s)^{-1/\alpha} dX_{1+\alpha s} \right).$$

If we define another Lévy process $\{\tilde{X}_t\}$ by $\tilde{X}_s = -X_{1+\alpha s}$, then we have

$$(2.4) \quad \mu = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^{-1/\alpha} (1 + \alpha s)^{-1/\alpha} d\tilde{X}_s \right).$$

(2.4) will be seen in Definition 4.1 with $\alpha < 0$ below and this expression is more natural when we consider the case $\alpha = 0$ as we will see in Remark 4.7 later.

3. DECOMPOSABILITY OF DISTRIBUTIONS IN $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$

As mentioned before, the classes $U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ have characterizations in terms of characteristic functions. Namely, $\mu \in U(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if for any $c \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\mu_c(z) \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \widehat{\mu}(cz)^c \widehat{\mu}_c(z),$$

and $\mu \in L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if for any $c \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\mu_c(z) \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \widehat{\mu}(cz) \widehat{\mu}_c(z).$$

As we announced in Section 2, we give our proof of characterization of $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in a similar way as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\alpha < 0$. $\mu \in K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if for any $c \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\mu_c \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that*

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \widehat{\mu}(cz)^{c^{-\alpha}} \widehat{\mu}_c(z).$$

Proof. (“Only if ” part.) It is enough to consider the case with $A = O$ and $\gamma = 0$. Suppose $\mu \in K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the polar decomposition of the Lévy measure of μ is (λ, ν_ξ) , with $\nu_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha-1} \ell_\xi(r) dr$. Then we have

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp \left\{ \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle}{1+r^2} \right) \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} \ell_\xi(r) dr \right\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\mu}(cz)^{c^{-\alpha}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ c^{-\alpha} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle z, cr\xi \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i\langle z, cr\xi \rangle}{1+r^2} \right) \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} \ell_\xi(r) dr \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle z, u\xi \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i\langle z, u\xi \rangle}{1+(u/c)^2} \right) \frac{1}{u^{\alpha+1}} \ell_\xi\left(\frac{u}{c}\right) du \right\} \\ &= \widehat{\mu}(z) \exp \left\{ \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty i\langle z, u\xi \rangle \left(\frac{1}{1+u^2} - \frac{1}{1+(u/c)^2} \right) \frac{1}{u^{\alpha+1}} \ell_\xi\left(\frac{u}{c}\right) du \right\} \\ & \quad \times \exp \left\{ - \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle z, u\xi \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i\langle z, u\xi \rangle}{1+u^2} \right) \frac{1}{u^{\alpha+1}} \left(\ell_\xi(u) - \ell_\xi\left(\frac{u}{c}\right) \right) du \right\} \\ &=: \widehat{\mu}(z) e^{i\langle z, a_c \rangle} (\rho_c(z))^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_c = \int_S \xi \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty u^{-\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{1+u^2} - \frac{1}{1+(u/c)^2} \right) \ell_\xi\left(\frac{u}{c}\right) du$$

and

$$\widehat{\rho}_c(z) = \exp \left\{ \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \left(e^{i\langle z, u\xi \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i\langle z, u\xi \rangle}{1+u^2} \right) \frac{1}{u^{\alpha+1}} \left(\ell_\xi(u) - \ell_\xi\left(\frac{u}{c}\right) \right) du \right\}.$$

We have to check the finiteness of a_c and that $\rho_c \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Since ν is a Lévy measure, we have $\int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) \nu_\xi(dr) < \infty$, which implies

$$\int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 r^{-\alpha+1} \ell_\xi(r) dr < \infty \text{ and } \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha-1} \ell_\xi(r) dr < \infty.$$

Furthermore, this concludes

$$\begin{aligned} |a_c| &\leq \int_S |\xi| \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty u^{-\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{1+u^2} - \frac{1}{1+(u/c)^2} \right| \ell_\xi(u/c) du \\ &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty c^{1-\alpha} v^{-\alpha} \left| \frac{1}{1+(cv)^2} - \frac{1}{1+v^2} \right| \ell_\xi(v) dv \\ &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \frac{c^{1-\alpha} v^{1-\alpha}}{(1+(cv)^2)} \ell_\xi(v) dv \\ &\leq c^{1-\alpha} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 v^{1-\alpha} \ell_\xi(v) dv + c^{1-\alpha} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty \frac{v^{1-\alpha}}{1+(cv)^2} \ell_\xi(v) dv < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the finiteness of a_c .

With respect to ρ_c , since $0 < c < 1$ and ℓ_ξ is nonincreasing, we have $h_\xi(u) := u^{-\alpha-1}(\ell_\xi(u) - \ell_\xi(u/c)) \geq 0$. Thus, $\nu_\rho(B) = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) h_\xi(r) dr$ is a nonnegative measure. Furthermore, we have

$$\int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) \nu_\rho(dr) = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) r^{-\alpha-1} (\ell_\xi(r) - \ell_\xi(r/c)) dr < \infty,$$

because $\int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) r^{-\alpha-1} \ell_\xi(r) dr < \infty$. Therefore, ν_ρ is a Lévy measure, and $\rho_c \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the uniqueness of Lévy-Khintchine representation. Thus, if we put $\widehat{\mu}_c(z) = \widehat{\rho}_c(z) e^{-i\langle z, ac \rangle}$, we have

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \widehat{\mu}(cz)^{c^{-\alpha}} \widehat{\mu}_c(z).$$

“Only if” part is now proved.

(“If” part.) Conversely, suppose that $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies that for any $c \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\mu_c(z) \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\widehat{\mu}(z) = \widehat{\mu}(cz)^{c^{-\alpha}} \widehat{\mu}_c(z)$. Since

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp \left\{ -2^{-1} \langle z, Az \rangle + i \langle \gamma, z \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i\langle z, x \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i\langle z, x \rangle}{1+|x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right\},$$

we have

$$\widehat{\mu}(cz)^{c^{-\alpha}} = \exp \left\{ -2^{-1} c^{-\alpha} \langle cz, Acz \rangle + i c^{-\alpha} \langle \gamma, cz \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i\langle cz, x \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i\langle cz, x \rangle}{1+|x|^2} \right) c^{-\alpha} \nu(dx) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp \left\{ -2^{-1} \langle z, c^{2-\alpha} A z \rangle + i \langle c^{1-\alpha} \gamma, z \rangle \right. \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i \langle z, y \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i \langle z, y \rangle}{1 + |y|^2} \right) c^{-\alpha} \nu \left(\frac{dy}{c} \right) \\
&\quad \left. + i \left\langle z, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y \left(\frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |y/c|^2} \right) c^{-\alpha} \nu \left(\frac{dy}{c} \right) \right\rangle \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\widehat{\mu}(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then we have

$$\widehat{\mu}_c(z) = \exp \left\{ -2^{-1} \langle z, A_c z \rangle + i \langle \gamma_c, z \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i \langle z, x \rangle} - 1 - \frac{i \langle z, x \rangle}{1 + |x|^2} \right) \left(\nu(dx) - c^{-\alpha} \nu \left(\frac{dx}{c} \right) \right) \right\},$$

where $A_c = (1 - c^{2-\alpha})A$ and

$$\gamma_c = (1 - c^{1-\alpha})\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y \left(\frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |y/c|^2} \right) c^{-\alpha} \nu \left(\frac{dy}{c} \right).$$

Since $\mu_c \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\nu^c(B) := \nu(B) - c^{-\alpha} \nu(c^{-1}B)$ is a Lévy measure for any $c \in (0, 1)$.

Recall that the polar decomposition of ν is $\nu(B) = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) \nu_\xi(dr)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^c(B) &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (1_B(r\xi) \nu_\xi(dr) - 1_{c^{-1}B}(r\xi) c^{-\alpha} \nu_\xi(dr)) \\
&= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) \left(\nu_\xi(dr) - c^{-\alpha} \nu_\xi \left(\frac{dr}{c} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$\nu_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha-1} \ell_\xi(r) dr$$

for some nonincreasing function ℓ_ξ measurable in ξ . For that, we consider a measure $r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr)$ on $(0, \infty)$ and let

$$H_\xi(x) := \int_{e^{-x}}^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr).$$

Here $H_\xi(x)$ is measurable in ξ . We also put

$$\begin{aligned}
H_\xi^c(x) &:= H_\xi(x) - H_\xi(x + \log c) \\
&= \int_{e^{-x}}^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) - \int_{e^{-x}/c}^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) \\
&= \int_{e^{-x}}^\infty r^\alpha \left(\nu_\xi(dr) - c^{-\alpha} \nu_\xi \left(\frac{dr}{c} \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nu^c(dr)$ is a Lévy measure, $H_\xi^c(x)$ is nonnegative and is nondecreasing for λ -almost every ξ . Moreover, $H_\xi(x)$ is convex on $(-\infty, \infty)$ as shown below.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $u > 0$ and $c \in (0, 1)$. Then $H_\xi^c(s+u) \geq H_\xi^c(s)$, and thus

$$H_\xi(s+u) - H_\xi(s+u + \log c) \geq H_\xi(s) - H_\xi(s + \log c) \geq 0,$$

which is

$$(3.1) \quad H_\xi(s+u) - H_\xi(s) \geq H_\xi(s+u+\log c) - H_\xi(s+\log c) \geq 0.$$

Then H_ξ is convex for λ -almost every ξ , as in Sato (1999) pp. 95–96. Furthermore, repeating the argument in p.96 of Sato (1999) we can write

$$H_\xi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x h_\xi(t) dt,$$

where $h_\xi(t)$ is some left-continuous nondecreasing function in u . Hence $h_x(t)$ is measurable in ξ . Now put

$$H_\xi(-\log x) = \int_{-\infty}^{-\log x} h_\xi(t) dt = \int_x^\infty h_\xi(-\log r) r^{-1} dr,$$

then, the definition of H , we have

$$\int_x^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) = \int_x^\infty h_\xi(-\log r) r^{-1} dr,$$

which implies

$$\nu_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha-1} h_\xi(-\log r) dr.$$

Since h_ξ is nondecreasing, we have $h_\xi(-\log r)$ is a nonincreasing function, and putting $\ell_\xi(r) = h_\xi(-\log r)$, we complete the proof. $\square$

4. MAPPINGS DEFINED BY STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS RELATED TO $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$

We are now going to study mappings defined by the stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes related to $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\varepsilon_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} \int_u^1 x^{-\alpha-1} dx, & 0 < u < 1, \\ 0, & u \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, when $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$\varepsilon_\alpha(u) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-1}(u^{-\alpha} - 1), & 0 < u < 1, \\ 0, & u \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and when $\alpha = 0$,

$$\varepsilon_0(u) = \begin{cases} \log u^{-1}, & 0 < u < 1, \\ 0, & u \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varepsilon_\alpha^*(t)$ be the inverse function of $\varepsilon_\alpha(u)$, that is, $t = \varepsilon_\alpha(u)$ if and only if $u = \varepsilon_\alpha^*(t)$.

Note that

$$\varepsilon_\alpha(0) = \begin{cases} (-\alpha)^{-1}, & \alpha < 0, \\ \infty, & \alpha \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, when $\alpha \neq 0$,

$$\varepsilon_\alpha^*(t) = \begin{cases} (1 + \alpha t)^{-1/\alpha}, & 0 < t < \varepsilon_\alpha(0), \\ 0, & t \geq \varepsilon_\alpha(0), \end{cases}$$

and when $\alpha = 0$,

$$\varepsilon_0^*(t) = e^{-t}, \quad t > 0.$$

Let $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ be the Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the distribution $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ at $t = 1$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We define mappings $\Phi_\alpha : \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) \rightarrow I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$\Phi_\alpha(\mu) = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon_\alpha(0)} \varepsilon_\alpha^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right),$$

where $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$ is the domain of the mapping Φ_α .

Remark 4.2. Let $-\infty < \beta < \alpha < \infty$. As in Sato (2006b) write the mapping as

$$\Phi_{\beta,\alpha} := \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^\infty f_{\beta,\alpha}(s) X_s^{(\mu)} \right),$$

where $f_{\beta,\alpha}(s)$ is the inverse function of

$$s = (\Gamma(\alpha - \beta))^{-1} \int_t^1 (1 - u)^{\alpha-\beta-1} u^{-\alpha-1} du.$$

Our mappings in this paper Φ_α are special cases of $\Phi_{\beta,\alpha}$ with $\beta = \alpha - 1$. Sato (2006b) discussed the domains of $\Phi_{\beta,\alpha}$, but not the ranges of them, and commented that description of the range of $\Phi_{\beta,\alpha}$ is to be made. Our concern here is their ranges, although not for general $\beta < \alpha$, because our motivation of this study started with the classes $K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Regarding the domains of Φ_α , we have the following result from Theorem 2.4 of Sato (2006b).

Proposition 4.3. (Domains of Φ_α)

- (i) When $\alpha < 0$, $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = I(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (ii) When $\alpha = 0$, $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (iii) When $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha \mu(dx) < \infty\} =: I_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (iv) When $\alpha = 1$, $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1) = \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \mu(dx) < \infty, \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^T t^{-1} dt \int_{|x|>t} x \nu(dx) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}^d, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0\} =: I_1^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (v) When $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha \mu(dx) < \infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0\} =: I_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- (vi) When $\alpha \geq 2$, $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = \{\delta_0\}$, where δ_0 is the distribution with the total mass at

0.

Note that when $\alpha < 0$, the interval of the integral is finite, so the stochastic integral exists for any $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by a result in Sato (2006a). Because of (vi) above, we are only interested in the case $\alpha < 2$. So, from now on, we assume that $\alpha < 2$.

Remark 4.4. O'Connor (1979) mentioned the definition of Φ_α , $-1 < \alpha < 2$, and stated without proofs that $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = I_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) = I_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^1)$, $1 < \alpha < 2$, but he did not mention the case $\alpha = 1$. Actually, as we will see, the case $\alpha = 1$ is the most difficult case to handle.

Remark 4.5. (Ranges) We know

$$\Phi_0(I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)) = L(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (\text{Wolfe (1982) and others}).$$

In Jurek (1985), it is shown that

$$U(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^1 t dX_t^{(\mu)} \right), \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\}.$$

But this is trivially the same as $\Phi_{-1}(I(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

In the following denote the mapped distribution by $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha(\mu) = \tilde{\mu}_{(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\gamma})}$ with polar decomposition $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\nu}_\xi)$. We want to prove

Theorem 4.6. *The ranges of the mapping Φ_α are,*

- (i) *when $\alpha < 0$, $\Phi_\alpha(I(\mathbb{R}^d)) = K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*
- (ii) *when $\alpha = 0$, $\Phi_0(I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)) = K_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*
- (iii) *when $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\Phi_\alpha(I_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)) = K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*
- (iv) *when $\alpha = 1$, $\Phi_\alpha(I_1^*(\mathbb{R}^d)) =$
 $\{\tilde{\mu} \in K_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ with } \tilde{\nu}_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(r) dr \text{ such that}$
 $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^1 t dt \int_S \xi \tilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{1+t^2 r^2} d\tilde{\ell}_\xi(r) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and equals } \tilde{\gamma}\},$*
- (v) *when $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\Phi_\alpha(I_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)) = K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \{\tilde{\mu} \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ such that } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \tilde{\mu}(dx) = 0\}.$*

Although (ii) is known, we have written it just for the completeness of the theorem. We give the proof of Theorem 4.7 in the next section.

We end this section with mentioning the continuity of $\Phi_\alpha(\mu)$ in α near 0 from below for each fixed $\mu \in I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (The continuity in $\alpha \in [-1, 0)$ for fixed $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is trivial.)

Remark 4.7. Now, let α tend to 0 from below. As to the interval of the integral, we have

$$\int_0^{-1/\alpha} \rightarrow \int_0^\infty \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 0$$

and as to the integrand, we have

$$(1 + \alpha t)^{-1/\alpha} \rightarrow e^{-t} \quad \text{as } \alpha \uparrow 0.$$

So, the question is whether $\lim_{\alpha \uparrow 0} \Phi_\alpha(\mu) = \Phi_0(\mu)$, $\mu \in I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, holds or not. But, this is true, if we apply the dominated convergence theorem to the cumulants of $\Phi_\alpha(\mu)$.

This remark explains why our expression (2.4) is more natural, when we consider the case $\alpha = 0$ as mentioned in Remark 2.1.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.6

Suppose $\mu = \mu_{(A, \nu, \gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$, $-\infty < \alpha < 2$. Then the mapped distribution $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha(\mu) = \tilde{\mu}_{(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\gamma})}$ satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{A} = (2 - \alpha)^{-1} A,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{\nu}(B) = \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} ds,$$

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma} &= \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_\epsilon^1 t^{-\alpha} dt \left(\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + t^2|x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \varepsilon_\alpha(0)} \int_0^T \varepsilon_\alpha^*(s) ds \left(\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |\varepsilon_\alpha^*(s)x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The derivation of $\tilde{A}$ is that

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon_\alpha(0)} \varepsilon_\alpha^*(t)^2 dt \right) A = \left(\int_1^0 s^2 d\varepsilon_\alpha(s) \right) A = (2 - \alpha)^{-1} A.$$

(5.2) is shown as follows. By using Proposition 2.6 of Sato (2006b), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}(B) &= \int_0^{\varepsilon_\alpha(0)} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(x \varepsilon_\alpha^*(t)) \nu(dx) \\ &= \int_0^1 (-d\varepsilon_\alpha(s)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_B(xs) \nu(dx) \\ &= \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha-1} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1_{s^{-1}B}(x) \nu(dx) \\ &= \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the change of variables $t \rightarrow \varepsilon_\alpha^*(s)$ we obtain two representations for $\tilde{\gamma}$. We sometimes use the zero mean condition,

$$(5.4) \quad \gamma = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx).$$

We need the following lemma. Denote

$$\log^* x := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1, \\ \log x & \text{if } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $-\infty < \alpha < 2$ and let $\tilde{\nu}$ be a Lévy measure. Then there exists a Lévy measure ν satisfying (5.2) such that*

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty, & \text{when } \alpha < 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \log^* |x| \nu(dx) < \infty, & \text{when } \alpha = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge |x|^\alpha) \nu(dx) < \infty, & \text{when } 0 < \alpha < 2 \end{cases}$$

if and only if $\tilde{\nu}$ is represented as

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{\nu}(B) = \int_S \tilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(u\xi) u^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u) du, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a measure on S and $\tilde{\ell}_\xi(u)$ is a function measurable in ξ and for $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. ξ . nonincreasing in $u \in (0, \infty)$, not identically zero and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u) = 0$.

This lemma follows from similar arguments as those used in Lemma 4.4 in Sato (2006b).

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We first show the “only if” part. Assume that the Lévy measure ν satisfies (5.2) and (5.5). The polar decomposition gives us

$$(5.7) \quad \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) \nu_\xi(dr) < \infty, \quad \text{when } \alpha \leq 0$$

$$(5.8) \quad \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge r^\alpha) \nu_\xi(dr) < \infty, \quad \text{when } \alpha > 0.$$

Then we have for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nu}(B) &= \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \nu_\xi(dr) 1_{s^{-1}B}(r\xi) s^{-\alpha-1} ds \\ &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) \int_0^r 1_B(u\xi) u^{-\alpha-1} du \\ &=: \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(u\xi) u^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5.9) \quad \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u) = \int_u^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr).$$

Therefore $\tilde{\ell}_\xi(u)$ is measurable in ξ , and for λ -a.e. ξ nonincreasing in u , and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u) = 0$ from (5.7) and (5.8).

Conversely, suppose that $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfies (5.6). Let $\tilde{\ell}_\xi(u+)$ be the right-continuous function defined by $\lim_{t \uparrow u} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(t) = \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u+)$. Then since $-\tilde{\ell}_\xi(u+)$ is a right-continuous increasing function, there exists a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure $\tilde{Q}_\xi$ on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{Q}_\xi((r, s]) = -\tilde{\ell}_\xi(s+) + \tilde{\ell}_\xi(r+)$$

and put

$$\nu_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\xi(dr).$$

Furthermore, define

$$\nu(B) = \int_S \tilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) \nu_\xi(dr).$$

Let $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$. Then for the case $\alpha < 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) \nu_\xi(dr) \\ &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \left(\int_0^1 r^{2-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\xi(dr) + \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\xi(dr) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\nu}$ is a Lévy measure, we have $\int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) r^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(r+) dr < \infty$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 r^{1-\alpha} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(r+) dr = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 r^{1-\alpha} \int_r^\infty \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) dr \\ &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) \int_0^{x \wedge 1} r^{1-\alpha} dr \\ &= (2-\alpha)^{-1} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) + (2-\alpha)^{-1} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \tilde{\ell}_\xi(1+) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(r+) dr = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha-1} \int_r^\infty \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) dr \\ &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) \int_1^x r^{-\alpha-1} dr \\ &= \alpha^{-1} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty (1-x^{-\alpha}) \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) \\ &= \alpha^{-1} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \tilde{\ell}_\xi(1+) - \alpha^{-1} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty x^{-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From the first inequality, $\int_S \lambda(d\xi) \tilde{\ell}_\xi(1+) > 0$ is finite and we see that

$$0 < \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 x^{2-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_1^\infty x^{-\alpha} \tilde{Q}_\xi(dx) < \infty,$$

which imply (5.5). For the remaining cases $\alpha = 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$, similar logic as in the case $\alpha < 0$ works and we conclude (5.5). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.6. As in Sato (2006a), we use the notation $C_\#^+$ for the class of nonnegative bounded continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ vanishing on a neighborhood of the origin.

(i), (ii) and (iii) ($-\infty < \alpha < 1$)

Suppose that $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha))$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha(\mu)$, $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)}$. When $\nu \neq 0$, since $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$, (5.6) holds by Lemma 5.1 so that $\tilde{\mu} \in K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_{(\tilde{A},\tilde{\nu},\tilde{\gamma})} \in K_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is Gaussian then putting $A = (2 - \alpha)\tilde{A}$, $\nu = 0$, and $\gamma = (1 - \alpha)\tilde{\gamma}$, we have $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha(\mu)$. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian, then we have (5.5) by Lemma 5.1. We put $A = (2 - \alpha)\tilde{A}$ and

$$\gamma = (1 - \alpha) \left(\tilde{\gamma} + \int_0^{\varepsilon_\alpha(0)} \varepsilon_\alpha^*(t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |\varepsilon_\alpha^*(t)x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right).$$

Although the parametrization of α is different, the argument similar to the proof of (2.35) in Sato (2006b) works and it follows from (5.5) that

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon_\alpha(0)} \varepsilon_\alpha^*(t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \left| \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |\varepsilon_\alpha^*(t)x|^2} \right| \nu(dx) < \infty.$$

Thus $\mu = \mu_{(A,\mu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$ and $\Phi_\alpha(\mu) = \tilde{\mu}$.

(iv) ($\alpha = 1$)

Suppose that $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_{(\tilde{A},\tilde{\nu},\tilde{\gamma})} = \Phi(\mu) \in \Phi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1))$ and $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1)$. First assume that $\tilde{\mu}$ is Gaussian. Then for given $\varphi \in C_\#^+$, $0 = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(sx) s^{-2} \nu(dx) ds$, which implies $0 = s^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(sx) \nu(dx)$ a.e. Since by the dominated convergence theorem $s^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(sx) \nu(dx)$ is continuous in s , letting $s = 1$, we have $\nu = 0$. Furthermore, from Proposition 4.3 (iv) with (5.4) $\gamma = 0$ and hence $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$. When $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian, ν satisfies (5.5) with $\alpha = 1$, and (5.3) and (5.4) imply that

$$(5.10) \quad -\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^1 t dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + t^2|x|^2} \nu(dx)$$

exists in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and equals $\tilde{\gamma}$. Thus, $\tilde{\mu} \in K_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ such that } -\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^1 t dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + t^2|x|^2} \nu(dx) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}^d\}$.

We show the converse. Suppose $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_{(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\gamma})} \in K_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ such that } -\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 t dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+t^2|x|^2} \nu(dx) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}^d\}$. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is centered Gaussian, then $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1))$ from Proposition 4.3. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian and satisfies (5.6) and (5.10), then by Lemma 5.1 a measure ν exists and satisfies (5.2) and (5.5) with $\alpha = 1$. Let $\gamma = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx)$ and $A = \tilde{A}$. It follows from the existence of (5.10) and $\int_{|x|>1} |x| \nu(dx) < \infty$ that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^T t^{-1} dt \int_{|x|>t} x \nu(dx) < \infty$$

as in the proof of Theorem 2.8 of Sato (2006b). Thus $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1)$. Furthermore (5.10) implies

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon}^1 t^{-1} dt \left(- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1+|tx|^2} - \frac{1}{1+|x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right),$$

which equals the right-hand side of (5.3). Therefore $\Phi_1(\mu) = \tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1))$.

(v) ($1 < \alpha < 2$)

Assume that $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)$ with some $\mu = \mu_{(A, \nu, \gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_{\alpha})$. The Gaussian case is the same as that of the proof for (ii). If $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian, then it follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfying (5.6). Since $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_{\alpha})$, ν and γ satisfy $\int_{|x|>1} |x|^{\alpha} \nu(dx) < \infty$ and (5.4), respectively. Then as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 (iii) of Sato (2006b), $\tilde{\gamma}$ exists and equals to

$$(5.11) \quad \tilde{\gamma} = - \int_0^{\infty} \varepsilon_{\alpha}^*(t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|\varepsilon_{\alpha}^*(t)x|^2}{1+|\varepsilon_{\alpha}^*(t)x|^2} \nu(dx) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \tilde{\nu}(dx),$$

which is

$$(5.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \tilde{\mu}(dx) = 0.$$

Hence $\tilde{\mu} \in K_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0\}$.

We show the converse. Suppose $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_{(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\gamma})} \in K_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0\}$. The Gaussian case is obvious. Suppose $\tilde{\mu}$ be non-Gaussian. Due to Lemma 5.1 a measure ν with $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ exists and satisfies (5.2) and (5.5). It follows from (5.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^3}{1+|x|^2} \tilde{\nu}(dx) &= \int_0^1 t^{2-\alpha} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^3}{1+t^2|x|^2} \nu(dx) \\ &\leq \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x|^3 \nu(dx) \int_0^1 t^{2-\alpha} dt + \int_{|x| > 1} |x|^3 \nu(dx) \int_0^{1/|x|} t^{2-\alpha} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{|x| > 1} |x| \nu(dx) \int_{1/|x|}^1 t^{-\alpha} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (3 - \alpha)^{-1} \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x|^3 \nu(dx) + (3 - \alpha)^{-1} \int_{|x| > 1} |x|^\alpha \nu(dx) \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \int_{|x| > 1} (|x| - |x|^\alpha) \nu(dx) < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\int_{|x| > 1} |x| \tilde{\nu}(dx) < \infty$ which is equivalent to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \tilde{\mu}(dx) < \infty$ and (5.11) holds. Let $\gamma = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx)$, $A = (2 - \alpha) \tilde{A}$ and $\mu = \mu_{(A, \nu, \gamma)}$. Then $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$ by Proposition 4.4 (v). Further

$$\int_0^\infty \varepsilon_\alpha^*(t) dt \left(\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1 + |\varepsilon_\alpha^*(t)x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \tilde{\nu}(dx),$$

which equals $\tilde{\gamma}$. Hence (5.3) is true and $\Phi_\alpha(\mu) = \tilde{\mu}$, namely $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha))$. $\square$

6. NESTED SUBCLASSES OF $\Phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha))$

Φ_α -mapping allows us to construct nested subclasses of $\Phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha))$ denoted by Φ_α^{m+1} , $m = 1, 2, \dots$. This is the topic in this section. We will see the domains $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$ in subsection 6.1 and characterize the ranges $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$ by both stochastic integral representations and the Lévy-Khintchine triplet, which are respectively given in subsections 6.2 and 6.3.

6.1. DOMAINS OF Φ_α^{m+1}

Theorem 6.1. *Let $m = 1, 2, \dots$*

(i) *When $\alpha < 0$, $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}) = I(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

(ii) *When $\alpha = 0$,*

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_0^{m+1}) = \left\{ \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\log |x|)^{m+1} \mu(dx) < \infty \right\} =: I_{\log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

(iii) *When $0 < \alpha < 1$,*

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}) = \left\{ \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \mu(dx) < \infty \right\} =: I_{\alpha, \log^m}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

(iv) *When $\alpha = 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1^{m+1}) = & \left\{ \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| (\log |x|)^m \mu(dx) < \infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0, \right. \\
& \left. \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^T t^{-1} dt \int_{|x| > t} x (\log(|x|/t))^m \nu(dx) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}^d \right\} =: I_{1, \log^m}^*(\mathbb{R}^d).
\end{aligned}$$

(v) When $1 < \alpha < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}) &= \left\{ \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \mu(dx) < \infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \mu(dx) = 0 \right\} \\ &=: I_{\alpha, \log^m}^0(\mathbb{R}^d).\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Since when $\alpha < 0$, the integral for $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)$ is not improper integral, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}) = I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (see Sato (2006a)). When $\alpha = 0$, Jurek (1985) determined $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_0^{m+1})$ as above.

We are now going to prove (iii), (iv) and (v). First, note that

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{1/x}^1 u^{-1} (\log ux)^m du = (m+1)^{-1} (\log x)^{m+1} \text{ for } m \geq 0.$$

Now, Theorem 6.1 (iii), (iv) and (v) are true for $m = 0$ as seen in Proposition 4.3 (iii), (iv) and (v). Suppose that it is true for some $m > 0$, as the induction hypothesis. Suppose $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+2}) &= \{ \mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha) : \int_{|x|>1} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \tilde{\nu}(dx) < \infty, \\ &\quad \text{where } \tilde{\nu} \text{ is the Lévy measure of } \tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha(\mu) \}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall from (5.2) that

$$\tilde{\nu}(B) = \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} ds.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x|>1} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \tilde{\nu}(dx) &= \int_{|x|>1} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}dx) s^{-\alpha-1} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha-1} ds \int_{|x|>1} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \nu(s^{-1}dx) \\ &= \int_{|y|>1} |y|^\alpha \nu(dy) \int_{1/|y|}^1 s^{-1} (\log |sy|)^m ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then by (6.1),

$$\int_{|x|>1} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \tilde{\nu}(dx) < \infty$$

if and only if

$$\int_{|x|>1} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^{m+1} \nu(dx) < \infty,$$

and we conclude that $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+2}) = I_{\alpha, \log^{m+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

When $1 < \alpha < 2$, there is no problem for the moment condition, and the condition, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mu(dx) = 0$, always holds. Thus we get $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+2}) = I_{\alpha, \log^{m+1}}^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Finally we prove (iv). So, suppose $\alpha = 1$. Also suppose it is true for some $m > 0$. We have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1^{m+2}) &= \{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1) : \int_{|x|>1} |x| (\log |x|)^m \tilde{\nu}(dx) < \infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mu(dx) = 0, \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^T t^{-1} dt \int_{|x|>t} x(\log(|x|t^{-1}))^m \tilde{\nu}(dx) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}^d, \\ &\text{where } \tilde{\nu} \text{ is the Lévy measure of } \Phi_1(\mu)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the moment condition can be given by the same way as for the case $1 < \alpha < 2$, in order to reach the conclusion, it remains to show that

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^T t^{-1} dt \int_{|x|>t} x(\log(|x|t^{-1}))^{m+1} \nu(dx) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|y|>t} y(\log(|y|t^{-1}))^m \tilde{\nu}(dy) \\ &= \int_{|y|>t} y(\log(|y|t^{-1}))^m \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}dy) s^{-2} ds \\ &= \int_0^1 s^{-1} ds \int_{|sx|>t} x(\log(|sx|t^{-1}))^m \nu(dx) \\ &= \int_{|x|>t} x \nu(dx) \int_{t/|x|}^1 s^{-1} (\log(s|x|t^{-1}))^m ds \\ &= (m+1)^{-1} \int_{|x|>t} x(\log(|x|t^{-1}))^{m+1} \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (6.2) is equivalent to (6.3). This completes the proof. $\square$

6.2. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$ BY STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\alpha < 2$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha,m}(s) &= (m!)^{-1} s^{-\alpha-1} (\log s^{-1})^m 1_{(0,1]}(s), \\ \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(u) &= \int_u^\infty g_{\alpha,m}(s) ds = \begin{cases} \int_u^1 g_{\alpha,m}(s) ds, & 0 < u < 1, \\ 0, & u \geq 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and let $\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)$ be the inverse function of $\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(x)$ such that $t = \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(u)$ if and only if $u = \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)$. Then $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}))$ if and only if

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{\mu} = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0)} \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right), \text{ for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}),$$

where when $\alpha < 0$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0) = (-\alpha)^{-(m+1)}$ and when $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0) = \infty$.

Remark 6.3. When $\alpha < 0$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0) = (-\alpha)^{-(m+1)}$ above is shown as follows.

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0) = \int_0^1 g_{\alpha,m}(s) ds = (m!)^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\alpha t} t^m dt = (-\alpha)^{-(m+1)}.$$

Remark 6.4. The following are known.

(1) (Jurek (2004).) When $\alpha = -1$, $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_{-1}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_{-1}^{m+1}))$ if and only if

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^1 \tau_m^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) \text{ for some } \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\tau_m(u) = \int_0^u g_{-1,m}(s) ds$, $0 < u \leq 1$ and $\tau_m^*(t)$ is its inverse. However, by changing variable t to $1 - t$, we see that

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^1 \tau_m^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^1 \varepsilon_{-1,m}^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right).$$

(2) (Jurek (1983).) When $\alpha = 0$,

$$(6.5) \quad \varepsilon_{0,m}^*(t) = e^{-((m+1)!t)^{(m+1)^{-1}}}.$$

In our setting, we can get (6.4) as follows. By a standard calculation, we see that

$$\varepsilon_{0,m}(u) = ((m+1)!)^{-1} (\log u^{-1})^{m+1}$$

and thus (6.4) is given by taking the inverse function of $t = \varepsilon_{0,m}(u)$.

We now prove Theorem 6.2.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. (“Only if” part.) Let $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}))$. Then $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\mu)$ for some $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1})$. We regard Φ_{α} as a mapping from a Lévy measure to a Lévy measure. Namely,

$$\Phi_{\alpha}(\nu)(B) := \nu_{\Phi_{\alpha}(\mu)}(B) = \int_0^1 \nu_{\mu}(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} ds,$$

where ν_{μ} is the Lévy measure of $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We first show, for each Lévy measure ν ,

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{\alpha}^{m+1}(\nu)(B) &= (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) g_{\alpha,m}(s) ds, \quad m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\alpha^2(\nu)(B) &= \Phi_\alpha(\Phi_\alpha(\nu))(B) \\
&= \int_0^1 \Phi_\alpha(\nu)(s^{-\alpha-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} ds \\
&= \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha-1} ds \int_0^1 \nu((ts)^{-1}B) t^{-\alpha-1} dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \nu(u^{-1}B) u^{-\alpha-1} du \int_u^1 s^{-1} ds \\
&= \int_0^1 \nu(u^{-1}B) g_{\alpha,1}(u) du.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.3) is true for $m = 1$. Next suppose

$$\Phi_\alpha^m(\nu)(B) = ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} (\log s^{-1})^{m-1} ds.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\nu)(B) &= \int_0^1 \Phi_\alpha^m(\nu)(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} ds \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha-1} ds \int_0^1 \nu((us)^{-1}B) u^{-\alpha-1} (\log u^{-1})^{m-1} du \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 u^{-\alpha-1} (\log u^{-1})^{m-1} du \int_0^u \nu(t^{-1}B) (t/u)^{-\alpha-1} (u^{-1}) dt \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \nu(t^{-1}B) t^{-\alpha-1} dt \int_t^1 u^{-1} (\log u^{-1})^{m-1} du \\
&= (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \nu(t^{-1}B) t^{-\alpha-1} (\log t^{-1})^m dt \\
&= \int_0^1 \nu(t^{-1}B) g_{\alpha,m}(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

A of $\mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)}$ is determined by $\tilde{A}$ defined in (6.7). Regarding γ , when $\alpha < 1$, it is given by $\tilde{\gamma}$ in (6.9) since $\int_0^1 t^{-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt$ is integrable. (Here, (6.7) and (6.9) will be given in the next section.) When $1 \leq \alpha < 2$, from zero mean condition of $\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$, we have $\gamma = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx)$. Hence $\tilde{\mu}$ has the representation (6.4).

In general, (see, e.g. the equation (1.8) of Barndorff-Nielsen and Maejima (2008)), if

$$\tilde{\nu}(B) = \int_0^\infty \nu(s^{-1}B) g(s) ds,$$

for some nonnegative integrable function $g(u)$ assuring the convergence of the integral, then $\tilde{\mu} \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the Lévy measure $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon(0)} \varepsilon^*(t) dX_t \right),$$

where $\varepsilon^*(t)$ is the inverse function of $\varepsilon(x) = \int_x^\infty g(s)ds$ and $\{X_t\}$ is a Lévy process with its Lévy measure ν . This is (6.4).

(“If” part.) Let

$$\tilde{\mu} = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_0^{\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0)} \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) \text{ for some } \mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\tilde{\mu}}(B) &= \int_0^{\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0)} dt \int_0^\infty 1_B(x\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)) \nu_\xi(dx) \\ &= \int_0^1 (-d\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(u)) \int_0^\infty 1_B(xu) \nu_\xi(dx) \\ &= \int_0^1 g_{\alpha,m}(u) du \int_0^\infty 1_{u^{-1}B}(x) \nu_\xi(dx) \\ &= \int_0^1 \nu(u^{-1}B) g_{\alpha,m}(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

where when $\alpha < 0$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0) = (-\alpha)^{-(m+1)}$ and when $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, $\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0) = \infty$. $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ are given respectively by (6.7) and (6.9). Thus, $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$. The proof is completed. $\square$

6.3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$ BY THE LÉVY-KHINTCHINE TRIPLET

We consider the range $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $-\infty < \alpha < 2$ and suppose $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$. Then the mapped distribution $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu) = \mu_{(\tilde{A},\tilde{\nu},\tilde{\gamma})}$ satisfies

$$(6.7) \quad \tilde{A} = (2 - \alpha)^{-(m+1)} A,$$

$$(6.8) \quad \tilde{\nu}(B) = (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \nu(s^{-1}B) s^{-\alpha-1} (\log s^{-1})^m ds,$$

$$(6.9) \quad \tilde{\gamma} = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (m!)^{-1} \int_\epsilon^1 t^{-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt \left(\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2} - \frac{1}{1+t^2|x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right)$$

$$= \lim_{T \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0)} \int_0^T \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(s) ds \left(\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2} - \frac{1}{1+|\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(s)x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right).$$

For $\tilde{A}$, we used

$$\int_0^{\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(0)} \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)^2 dt = \int_1^0 s^2 d\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}(s) = (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 s^{1-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds = (2-\alpha)^{-(m+1)}.$$

For $\tilde{\gamma}$, we use the same calculation as that for $\Phi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha))$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $-\infty < \alpha < 2$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$ if and only if one of the following conditions depending on α is satisfied.*

(i) $(-\infty < \alpha < 1)$

$\tilde{\mu}$ is Gaussian, or $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian and

$$(6.10) \quad \tilde{\nu}(B) = \int_S \tilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(u\xi) u^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(u) du, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Here $\tilde{\lambda}$ is a measure on S and $\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(u)$ is a measurable function in ξ such that satisfies

$$(6.11) \quad \tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(u) = ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_u^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/u))^{m-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(x) dx$$

where $\tilde{\ell}_\xi(u)$ is a function measurable in ξ and for λ -a.e. ξ , nonincreasing in $u \in (0, \infty)$, not identically zero and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u) = 0$.

(ii) $(\alpha = 1)$

$\tilde{\mu}$ is centered Gaussian, or $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian and $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfies (6.10), (6.11) and

$$(6.12) \quad -\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_\varepsilon^1 t (\log t^{-1})^m dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+t^2|x|^2} \nu(dx)$$

exists in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and equals $\tilde{\gamma}$. Here the measure ν satisfying (6.8).

(iii) $(1 < \alpha < 2)$

$\tilde{\mu}$ is centered Gaussian, or $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian and $\tilde{\nu}$ has expression (6.10), (6.11) and

$$(6.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \tilde{\mu}(dx) = 0.$$

As seen in the proof of Lemma 6.6, the function $\tilde{\ell}_\xi(x)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\ell}_\xi(x) = \int_x^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr),$$

where ν_ξ is the radial component of the Lévy measure ν of $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$.

A function $f(t)$ defined for $t > 0$ is called m -times monotone where m is an integer, $m \geq 2$, if $(-1)^k f^{(k)}(t)$ is nonnegative, nonincreasing and convex for $t > 0$, and for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-2$. When $m = 1$, $f(t)$ will simply be nonnegative and nonincreasing.

Note that $\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(u)$ is m -times monotone. In order to see this, we have only to differentiate it in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{ds}\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(s) &= -\frac{1}{s} \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{(m-2)!x} (\log(x/s))^{m-2} dx \int_x^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) < 0, \\ \frac{d^2}{ds^2}\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(s) &= \frac{1}{s^2} \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{(m-2)!x} (\log(x/s))^{m-2} dx \int_x^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{s^2} \int_s^\infty \frac{1}{(m-3)!x} (\log(x/s))^{m-3} dx \int_x^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) > 0.\end{aligned}$$

The differentiation continues to $m-1$ times, but $(d/ds)^{m-1}\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(s)$ includes the term

$$(-s)^{1-m} \int_s^\infty x^{-1} dx \int_x^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr)$$

and hence $(d/ds)^m \tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(s)$ includes the term

$$(-s)^{-m} \int_s^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr).$$

Then since we have no information about absolute continuity of the measure $\nu_\xi(dr)$ and differentiability of $\int_s^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr)$ can not be guaranteed, we can not assert any stronger results for $\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(s)$ other than m -times differentiability.

We need the following Lemma and here we use the same notations as before. This lemma follows from similar arguments as those used in Lemma 4.4 in Sato (2006b).

Lemma 6.6. *Let $-\infty < \alpha < 2$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and let $\tilde{\nu}$ be a Lévy measure. Then there exists a Lévy measure ν satisfying (6.8) such that*

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty, & \text{when } \alpha < 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) (\log^* |x|)^{m+1} \nu(dx) < \infty, & \text{when } \alpha = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge |x|^\alpha) (\log^* |x|)^m \nu(dx) < \infty, & \text{when } 0 < \alpha < 2 \end{cases}$$

if and only if $\tilde{\nu}$ is represented as (6.10).

Proof of Lemma 6.6. We first prove the only if part. Assume that the Lévy measure ν satisfy (6.8) and (6.14). The polar decomposition gives

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{cases} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) \nu_\xi(dr) < \infty, & \text{when } \alpha < 0, \\ \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) (\log^* r)^{m+1} \nu_\xi(dr) < \infty, & \text{when } \alpha = 0, \\ \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge r^\alpha) (\log^* r)^m \nu_\xi(dr) < \infty, & \text{when } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we have for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\tilde{\nu}(B) = (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 t^{-\alpha-1} \nu(t^{-1}B) (\log t^{-1})^m dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 t^{-\alpha-1} dt \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(t\xi r) (\log t^{-1})^m \nu_\xi(dr) \\
&= (m!)^{-1} \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) \int_0^r 1_B(s\xi) s^{-\alpha-1} (\log(r/s))^m ds \\
&=: \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(s\xi) s^{-\alpha-1} \tilde{h}_\xi^m(s) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(s) &= (m!)^{-1} \int_s^\infty (\log(r/s))^m r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_s^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) \int_s^r x^{-1} (\log(x/s))^{m-1} dx \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_s^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/s))^{m-1} dx \int_x^\infty r^\alpha \nu_\xi(dr) \\
&=: ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_s^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/s))^{m-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{\ell}_\xi(u)$ is measurable in ξ and for $\lambda - a.e. \xi$. nonincreasing in $u \in (0, \infty)$, and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(u) = 0$ from (6.15).

Conversely, suppose that $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfies (6.8). We consider the case $-\infty < \alpha < 0$. Then since $\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(r)$ is a continuous decreasing function, we can define a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure $\tilde{R}_\xi$ on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying $\tilde{R}_\xi((r, s]) = -\tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(s) + \tilde{h}_\xi^{(m)}(r)$ and put $\nu_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha} \tilde{R}_\xi(dr)$. Furthermore define

$$\nu(B) = \int_S \tilde{\lambda}(dr) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) \nu_\xi(dr).$$

Here the same logic as in the proof of Lemma 5.1 holds and we see that (6.14).

In the following, similar to the proof of Lemma 5.1, we put $\tilde{R}_\xi([r, \infty)) = \tilde{\ell}_\xi(r+)$ and $\nu_\xi(dr) = r^{-\alpha} \tilde{R}_\xi(dr)$. Furthermore define

$$\nu(B) = \int_S \tilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty 1_B(r\xi) \nu_\xi(dr).$$

Then for the case $\alpha = 0$, let $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) (\log^* |x|)^{m+1} \nu(dx) \\
&= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) (\log^* r)^{m+1} \nu_\xi(dr) \\
&= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \left(\int_0^1 r^2 \tilde{R}_\xi(dr) + \int_1^\infty (\log^* r)^{m+1} \tilde{R}_\xi(dr) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\nu}$ is a Lévy measure, it follows that

$$\int_S \lambda(d\xi) ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) r^{-1} dr \int_r^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(x) dx < \infty.$$

Then a simple calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 r dr \int_r^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \int_x^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \\ &= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 r dr \int_r^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_r^y x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \\ &= (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 r dr \int_r^\infty (\log(y/r))^m \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \\ &= (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_0^y r (\log(y/r))^m dr \\ &\quad + (m!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_0^1 r (\log(y/r))^m dr < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last two integrals are positive and

$$\begin{aligned} (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_0^y r (\log(y/r))^m dr \\ = (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 t (\log t^{-1})^m dt \int_0^1 y^2 \tilde{R}_\xi(dy), \end{aligned}$$

the finiteness of $\int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^1 r^2 \tilde{R}_\xi(dr)$ is shown. Next we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty r^{-1} dr \int_r^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \int_x^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \\ &= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty r^{-1} dr \int_r^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_r^y x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \\ &= (m!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty r^{-1} dr \int_r^\infty [(\log(x/r))^m]_r^y \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \\ &= (m!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_1^y r^{-1} (\log(y/r))^m dr \\ &= ((m+1)!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty (\log y)^{m+1} \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have (6.14).

When $0 < \alpha < 2$, let $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (|x|^2 \wedge |x|^\alpha) (\log^* |x|)^m \nu(dx) \\ = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge r^\alpha) (\log^* r)^m \nu_\xi(dr) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge r^\alpha) (\log^* r)^m r^{-\alpha} \tilde{R}_\xi(dr) \\
&= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \left(\int_0^1 r^{2-\alpha} \tilde{R}_\xi(dr) + \int_1^\infty (\log^* r)^m \tilde{R}_\xi(dr) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\nu}$ is a Lévy measure. We have

$$\int_S \lambda(d\xi) ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^\infty (r^2 \wedge 1) r^{-\alpha-1} dr \int_r^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(x) dx < \infty.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 r^{1-\alpha} dr \int_r^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \int_x^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_0^1 r^{1-\alpha} dr \int_r^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_r^y x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \\
&= (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_0^y r^{1-\alpha} (\log(y/r))^m dr \\
&\quad + (m!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_0^1 r^{1-\alpha} (\log(y/r))^m dr < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term in the right-hand side equals

$$(m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 y^{2-\alpha} \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_0^1 t^{1-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha-1} dr \int_r^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} \tilde{\ell}_\xi(x) dx \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha-1} dr \int_r^\infty x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \int_x^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \\
&= ((m-1)!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha-1} dr \int_r^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_r^y x^{-1} (\log(x/r))^{m-1} dx \\
&= (m!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty r^{-\alpha-1} dr \int_r^\infty (\log(y/r))^m \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \\
&= (m!)^{-1} \int_1^\infty \tilde{R}_\xi(dy) \int_1^y r^{-\alpha-1} (\log(y/r))^m dr < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Here with the integral by parts formula

$$(m!)^{-1} \int_1^y r^{-\alpha-1} (\log(y/r))^m dr$$

is a linear combination of $(\log y)^k$, $k = 0, \dots, m$, and the coefficient of $(\log y)^m$ is positive. Thus, (6.14) holds. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.5.

(i) $(-\infty < \alpha < 1)$

Assume that $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$. The Gaussian case is obvious. When $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian, then from Lemma 6.6 there exists $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfying (6.10) and (6.11).

We see the converse. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is Gaussian, then putting $A = (2 - \alpha)^m \tilde{A}$, $\nu = 0$ and $\gamma = (1 - \alpha)^m \tilde{\gamma}$, we have $\mu = \mu_{(A, \nu, \gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)$.

If $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian, then (6.10) and (6.11) give the measure ν in Lemma 6.6. We put $A = (2 - \alpha)^m \tilde{A}$ and

$$\gamma = (1 - \alpha)^m \left(\tilde{\gamma} + (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + |sx|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right)$$

The existence of γ is proved as follows. Let c_1 and c_2 be some positive constants. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \left| \frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} - \frac{1}{1 + s^2 |x|^2} \right| \nu(dx) \\ & \leq \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \left(\int_{|x| \leq 1} \frac{|x|^3}{(1 + |x|^2)(1 + s^2 |x|^2)} \nu(dx) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + c_1 \int_{|x| > 1, |sx| \leq 1} |x| \nu(dx) + c_2 \int_{|x| > 1, |sx| > 1} s^{-2} |x|^{-1} \nu(dx) \right) \\ & = \int_0^1 s^{-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x|^3 \nu(dx) \\ & \quad + c_1 \int_{|x| > 1} |x| \nu(dx) \int_0^{1/|x|} s^{-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \\ & \quad + c_2 \int_{|x| > 1} |x|^{-1} \nu(dx) \int_{1/|x|}^1 s^{-\alpha-2} (\log s^{-1})^m ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here by the integral by parts formula, $\int_0^{1/|x|} s^{-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds$ is shown to be constructed by a linear combination of $|x|^{\alpha-1} (\log |x|)^k$ with $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and $\int_{1/|x|}^1 s^{-\alpha-2} (\log s^{-1})^m ds$ is shown to be constructed by a linear combination of $|x|^{\alpha+1} (\log |x|)^k$ with $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then on behalf of (6.14) we can prove the existence of γ . Thus $\mu = \mu_{(A, \nu, \gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$ and $\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu) = \tilde{\mu}$.

(ii) ($\alpha = 1$)

Suppose that $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_1^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1^{m+1}))$ and $\mu = \mu_{(A, \nu, \gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1^{m+1})$. First assume that $\tilde{\mu}$ is Gaussian. Then for given $\varphi \in C_\#^+$ (see the beginning of Proof of Theorem 4.7 for its definition),

$$0 = \int_0^1 s^{-2} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(s^{-1}x) \nu(dx),$$

which implies $0 = s^{-2} (\log s^{-1})^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(s^{-1}x) \nu(dx)$. Since by the dominated convergence theorem $s^{-2} (\log s^{-1})^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(s^{-1}x) \nu(dx)$ is continuous in s , letting $s = 1/2$, we

have $\nu = 0$. This together with $\gamma = 0$ (which follows from Proposition 4.3) implies $\tilde{\gamma} = 0$. Hence $\tilde{\mu}$ is centered Gaussian. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian, then Lemma 6.6 assures the existence of a measure $\tilde{\nu}$ such that satisfies (6.10) and (6.11) with $\alpha = 1$, and the combination of $\gamma = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx)$ and (6.9) implies (6.12).

We prove the converse part. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is centered Gaussian, then $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1}))$ by Theorem 4.6. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian and satisfies (6.10) and (6.11), then Lemma 6.6 yields the existence of a measure ν satisfying (6.8) and (6.14) with $\alpha = 1$. Let $\gamma = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx)$, $A = \tilde{A}$ and $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)}$. It follows from (6.12) that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)x|^2}{1+|\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)x|^2} \nu(dx) \quad \text{exists in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then Proposition 2.6 (ii) of Sato (2006a) assures $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$. Furthermore (6.12) implies

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t) dt \left(\gamma - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2} - \frac{1}{1+|\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)x|^2} \right) \nu(dx) \right).$$

Thus looking at (6.9), we confirm that $\Phi_1^{m+1}(\mu) = \tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu} \in \Phi_1^{m+1}(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_1^{m+1}))$.

(iii) ($0 < \alpha < 2$)

Assume that $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)$ with some $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$. The Gaussian case is the same as that of Proof for (ii). If $\tilde{\mu}$ is non-Gaussian, then it follows from Lemma 6.6 that there exists $\tilde{\nu}$ satisfying (6.10) and (6.11). Since $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$, ν and γ satisfy $\int_{|x|>1} |x|^\alpha (\log |x|)^m \nu(dx) < \infty$ and $\gamma = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx)$, respectively. We show the existence of $\tilde{\gamma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 t^{2-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^3}{1+t^2|x|^2} \nu(dx) \\ & \leq (m!)^{-1} \int_0^1 t^{2-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x|^3 \nu(dx) \\ & \quad + (m!)^{-1} \int_{|x| > 1} |x|^3 \nu(dx) \int_0^{1/|x|} t^{2-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt \\ & \quad + (m!)^{-1} \int_{|x| > 1} |x| \nu(dx) \int_{1/|x|}^1 t^{-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here by the integral by parts formula, $\int_0^{1/|x|} t^{2-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt$ is shown to be a linear combination of $|x|^{\alpha-3} (\log |x|)^k$ with $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and $\int_{1/|x|}^1 t^{-\alpha} (\log t^{-1})^m dt$ is shown to be a linear combination of $|x|^{\alpha-1} (\log |x|)^k$ with $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then from (6.14)

$\tilde{\gamma}$ exists and equals to

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\gamma} &= - \int_0^\infty \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x |\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)x|^2}{1 + |\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)x|^2} \nu(dx) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1 + |x|^2} \tilde{\nu}(dx),\end{aligned}$$

which is (6.13).

We consider the converse. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is centered Gaussian with its component $\tilde{A}$. Then by putting $A = (2 - \alpha)^{m+1} \tilde{A}$, we have $\mu_{(A,0,0)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$ and $\tilde{\mu} = \Phi_\alpha^{m+1}(\mu)$. Suppose $\tilde{\mu}$ be non-Gaussian and satisfy condition of (iii). On behalf of Lemma 6.6, we have a measure ν satisfying (6.8) and (6.14). We investigate the absolute moment of $\tilde{\nu}$ and see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^3}{1 + |x|^2} \tilde{\nu}(dx) &= \int_0^\infty \varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t) dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^3}{1 + |\varepsilon_{\alpha,m}^*(t)x|^2} \nu(dx) \\ &= \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^1 s^{2-\alpha} (\log s^{-1})^m ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^3}{1 + s^2|x|^2} \nu(dx).\end{aligned}$$

Then as we have seen in the preceding paragraph,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x|^3}{1 + |x|^2} \tilde{\nu}(dx) < \infty.$$

Thus $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \tilde{\mu}(dx) < \infty$, and hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \tilde{\mu}(dx) = 0$. Let $\gamma = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{x|x|^2}{1+|x|^2} \nu(dx)$ and $A = (2 - \alpha)^{m+1} \tilde{A}$. Then on behalf of (6.8), Theorem 6.1 (v) is satisfied. Thus $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_\alpha^{m+1})$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen and M. Maejima (2008). Semigroups of Upsilon transformations, to appear in *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*
- [2] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, M. Maejima and K. Sato (2006). Some classes of multivariate infinitely divisible distributions admitting stochastic integral representations, *Bernoulli*, **12**, 1–33.
- [3] Z.J. Jurek (1983). The class $L_m(Q)$ of probability measures on Banach spaces, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **31**, 51–62.
- [4] Z.J. Jurek (1985). Relations between the s -selfdecomposable and selfdecomposable measures, *Ann. Probab.* **13**, 592–608.
- [5] Z.J. Jurek (1988). Random integral representations for classes of limit distributions similar to Levy class L_0 . *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* **78**, 473–490.
- [6] Z.J. Jurek (2004). The random integral representation hypothesis revisited : new class of s -selfdecomposable laws, in : *Abstract and Applied Analysis* ; Proc. Intern. Conf. Hanoi, 2002, World Scientific, 495–514.
- [7] T.A. O'Connor (1979). Infinitely divisible distributions similar to class L distributions, *Z.W.* **50**, 265–271.)
- [8] J. Rosiński (2007). Tempering stable processes, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **117**, 677–707.

- [9] K. Sato (1999). *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- [10] K. Sato (2006a). Additive processes and stochastic integrals, *Illinois J. Math.* **50** (Doob Volume), 825–851.
- [11] K. Sato (2006b). Two families of improper stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes, *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Statist.* **1**, 47–87.
- [12] S.J. Wolfe (1982). On a continuous analogue of the stochastic difference equation $X_n = \rho X_{n-1} + B_n$, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **12**, 301–312.